

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_101839
Title of the Manuscript:	Estimation of cost and returns per hectare , and input input-output ratio of Mustard on different size group of farms in Jhansi district of Uttar Pradesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	1. <b>Yes. It is important for scientific community.</b>  2. <b>The title of the article is very much suitable.</b>  3. <b>Yes the abstract of the article is comprehensive giving objectives, results and policy.</b>  4. <b>The sub sections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</b>  5. <b>I think the manuscript is scientifically correct</b>  6. <b>The references are sufficient and recent.</b>  <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>You can mention objectives of study and hypotheses as separate sub headings</li> </ul>	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments  1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	<b>Review the language</b>  Check spelling and typos	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<b>The results of your study can be compared with the existing studies and can be revealed whether they support or not with the existing studies</b>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Syed Ali</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>India</b>