

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reviews in Physics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJR2P_101740
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF GRAIN SIZE ON RADIONUCLIDE CONTENT IN SEDIMENT SAMPLES FROM KOLO CREEK, BAYELSA STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajr2p.com/index.php/AJR2P/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 1. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 2. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 3. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 4. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 5. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1. I Is the manuscript important for scientific community? It can be important regarding the use of different techniques developed for scientific proposes 2.Is the title of the article suitable? The title is adequate 3.Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? To the present reviewer the abstract of the article is complete; it includes all the aspects presented in the paper. 4.Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? According with for the authors guidelines both subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. 5.Are the references sufficient and recent? The manuscript includes enough, adequate, and recent references	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>María del Carmen Cisneros Gudiño</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Laboratorio de Física Atómica y Molecular, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México</b>