

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_101248
Title of the Manuscript:	Exploring the Effect of Dexamethasone Addition to Local Anesthetics in Supraclavicular Brachial Plexus Block
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>		
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The article was't well planned and deficiencies were detected in the flow. The discussion is very weak. There is a preparation error in the 35 cc drug dose. The amount of bupivacaine and lidocaine should be stated in mg in this drug cocktail. The block method should be specified in detail. It is called a supraclavicular brachial nerve block, but the method should be detailed in the material and method. How to check if the block is kept clinically. Sensory and motor block formation sites and conditions are not specified. In which patients this block was applied, for example, this can be said for scaphoid metatarsal fractures. In the discussion, "This method was determined to be risk-free and ineffective. 13" This sentence should be elaborated. The discussion was incomplete and short. Paragraphs in the discussion are incomplete and left unfinished. No power analysis was performed for the article. In the concluding part of the article, epinephrine is mentioned in an irrelevant way. It should be explained why the complication rate was low in the dexmetamodine group. Did the dilution develop due to drug dilution in the dexmetamodine group? How was the dilution of the administered drugs with saline? The names and doses of the sedative drugs given to the patient should be stated in the article. "Despite using Murphy's supraclavicular method to inject local anesthetic, Brand and Papper reported a 6.1% incidence of pneumothorax.20" This sentence was left unfinished and could not be associated with the article.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bilge Aslan
Department, University & Country	University of Health Sciences, Turkey