

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_101248
Title of the Manuscript:	Exploring the Effect of Dexamethasone Addition to Local Anesthetics in Supraclavicular Brachial Plexus Block
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1- Yes the subject is very interesting an adjuvant such dexamethasone can be in the event of major surgery a valid alternative to the supraclavicular perineural catheter to prolong post operative analgesia.</p> <p>2- I would remove "Exploring" from the Title: The Effect of Dexamethasone Addition to Local Anesthetics in Supraclavicular Brachial Plexus Block</p> <p>3- I don't understand the conclusion of the manuscript regarding the results on the onset and the duration of the dexanethsone</p> <p>4- Objective of the study: Primary endpoint must be one, and one only, and then your study has two main objectives. Secondary endpoints can be one, two or three. The results must be described indetail.</p> <p>Discussion: The primary endpoint needs to be discussed and then compared to the literature – So also the second endpoints Conclusion: Group B proved to be statistically superior to group A in yerms of onset and duration of the block, But you also concluded " the use of dexamethasone to prolong the effects of local anesthetics is not encouraged. I don't understand?</p> <p>You need to correct and improve these aspects</p> <p>5- The results and the discussion need to be set up better.</p> <p>6- This article could be an interesting support for the discussion " Mathias Maagaard , MD et All: Perineural and systemic dexamethasone and Ulnar nerve block duration: A randomized blinded. Placebo- controlled trial in healthy volunteers. Pain medicine June 2023 "</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes English is of quality	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	If the points commented above are correctly corrected, this manuscript will have a great a scientific impact	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bagaphou Thierry Claude
Department, University & Country	Hospital of Città di Castello, Italy