

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_101767
Title of the Manuscript:	Socio-psychological constructs and perceived economic variables on Entrepreneurial Behavior among Farmer Producer Organization members in Kerala: A Comprehensive Analysis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory REVISION</u> comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> “Socio-psychological constructs and perceived economic variables on Entrepreneurial Behavior among Farmer Producer Organization members in Kerala: A Comprehensive Analysis” –this topic is very innovative and important for scientific community. The title of the article is also suitable in Wayanad from Northern Kerala, Idukki from Central Kerala, and Trivandrum from Southern Kerala prospective. The abstract of the article is comprehensive and but not perfectly organized. But need some grammatical correction. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate and perfectly organized. I think the manuscript is scientifically correct. What is the sample size of this research paper? Please Justify. Need an extra paragraph for total findings of the research paper. Please justify. The number of references is 22 which is sufficient for any journal paper. 60% of them are basically research paper. Explain and Justify. Please add some more references if required. 	
<p><u>Minor REVISION</u> comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Need to check grammatical and sentence structure before the final submission - Researcher claim that this research is basically original research article. Explain and Justify. - DEFINITIONS, ACRONYMS, ABBREVIATIONS Here is the Definitions section. This is an optional section. Term: Definition for the term. Why researcher write it in last page. Explain and Justify. -All independent variables (age, education, annual income, training, scientific orientation, group cohesion, and creativity with entrepreneurial behavior) are very accurate. 	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Summary of all findings, results and conclusion part are not clear enough for submitting this paper. Please rewrite before final submission. -Add a section named summary of all findings if possible. If not explain and justify -What is the findings from all literature review? Explain and Justify. - Please rewrite the keywords. If not, justify. Keywords should be five to seven words. Explain and Justify. <i>Keywords: Farmer Producer Organization, Socio-psychological construct, Entrepreneurial behaviour, Karl Pearson Correlation</i> -In conclusion part- “The findings demonstrated the significant and positive relationships between age, education, annual income, training, scientific orientation, group cohesion, and creativity with entrepreneurial behavior”- please add “age” here. Explain and Justify. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Albina Amin
Department, University & Country	Bangladesh University of Professionals, Bangladesh