

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_101720
Title of the Manuscript:	Constraints and Suggestions regarding Entrepreneurial Behavior of SHGs Member Under National Rural Livelihood Mission (NRLM) in Khanpur Block of Samastipur District In Bihar
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>yes</p> <p>modify and reduce the words</p> <p>yes</p> <p>NO—follow the steps given in general comment</p> <p>Ok</p> <p>ok</p>	
<p><b>Minor REVISION</b> comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>good</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>1. Literature review and gap is missing</p> <p>2. Research problem and objectives are missing</p> <p>3. Methodology is missing</p> <p>4. Paper is not structured properly</p> <p>5. Structure of the paper should be</p> <p>1.0 introduction</p> <p>2.0 literature review</p> <p>3.0 research problem and objectives</p> <p>4.0 methodology</p> <p>5.0 data collection and analysis and discussion</p> <p>6.0 conclusion</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b><u>Reviewer's comment</u></b>	<b><u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u></b>
<b><u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u></b>	<b><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></b>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<b><u>Name:</u></b>	<b>Rajeshwar S Kadadevaramth</b>
<b><u>Department, University &amp; Country</u></b>	<b>Siddaganga Institute of Technology, India</b>