

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Parasitology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJP_95663
Title of the Manuscript:	A SURVEY OF GASTROINTESTINAL HELMINTH PARASITES AND HAEMOPARASITES OF TILAPIA ZILLI IN ANAMBRA EAST LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA OF ANAMBRA STATE
Type of the Article	Original Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalsajp.com/index.php/SAJP/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>This manuscript is important for scientific community.</p> <p>The title of article is suitable.</p> <p>The abstract of article is comprehensive.</p> <p>The subsection and structure of article is appropriate.</p> <p>This manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>7 out of 19 references still use citations more than the last 10 years</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The english quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communication. I have correct some typo.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Explain why Anamba State was chosen as the research location?</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Reggi First Trasia
Department, University & Country	Universitas Sultan Ageng Tirtayasa, Indonesia