

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_95644
Title of the Manuscript:	The frequency of use of warfarin-interfering drugs in patients suffering from warfarin toxicity referred to the emergency ward of Imam Reza Hospital, Mashhad
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	partially	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	yes	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	yes	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	yes	
(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	yes	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	yes	
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	There is a mistake: from April to March 2016	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pier Luigi Antignani
Department, University & Country	Nuova Villa Claudia Clinic, Italy