

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_95480
Title of the Manuscript:	“Awareness and Knowledge of Dental Teaching Faculty on OSCE/OSPE examination- An Online Survey”
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript is important to the scientific community, the article's title is good, and the article's abstract is thorough.</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct yet needs more structuring and elaboration in some sections.</p> <p>For example, the introduction section should be expanded to</p> <p>define OSCE conceptually, as well as its characteristics, advantages, and disadvantages.</p> <p>the significance of the study to researchers'</p> <p>Previous research on the same subject</p> <p>Methodology: It is recommended to be more structured in the form of design, setting, participants, and sampling criteria</p> <p>detailed description of instruments... data analysis</p> <p>Indicate the study's limitations as well as the implications for education and research.</p> <p>Update all old references. OSCE has received numerous recent citations in the health sciences.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ebtsam Aly Abou Hashish
Department, University & Country	Alexandria University, Egypt, King Saud Bin Abdul Aziz University for Health Sciences, Saudi Arabia