

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_95679
Title of the Manuscript:	"Phytochemical and Biological Investigation of Tridax procumbens leaves."
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljocamr.com/index.php/JOCAMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1-Browsing through the internet, I have found previous research papers regarding the phytochemical investigation of this plant. While a published thesis at Est west University had a similar title and subject. However, there are some new findings in this article. 2- They could make some changes to have it different from other published articles and add in comparison with vit C and ciprofloxacin 3-The abstract was comprehensive and illustrated the whole article 4- All are appro[pirate 5- Yes. However photos of the TLC work and VLC for validation are required. Table one requires reference and the material and method section and all equations should have a reference. 6- Table one requires reference and the material and method section and all equations should have a reference	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zena M. Qaragholi
Department, University & Country	University of Baghdad, College of Pharmacy, Iraq