

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Materials Science Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JMSRR_95525
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF BASICITY ON ELECTROCHEMICAL CORROSION BEHAVIOUR OF ENANTIA CHLORANTHA ON THE CORROSION OF MILD STEEL IN ACID ENVIRONMENTS.
Type of the Article	Research article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journaljmsrr.com/index.php/JMSRR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Yes. This article gives the knowledge about effect of basicity on electrochemical corrosion behaviour of <i>Enantia chlorantha</i> on the corrosion of mild steel in acid environments</li> <li>2. Yes. Title is suitable</li> <li>3. Need to work on the abstract</li> <li>4. Yes</li> <li>5. Yes</li> <li>6. Need to add some more relevant recent reference.</li> <li>7. Figures are not properly visible.</li> <li>8. Kindly indicate the novelty of the work at last paragraph of the introduction.</li> <li>9. The authors should rewrite the object of the study clearly.</li> <li>10. Kindly correct spelling mistake "Introduction"</li> <li>11. Kindly make it uniformity for writing equation numbers.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Language should be improved</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Check spelling mistakes, space throughout the manuscript</li> <li>2. Kindly check the alignment throughout the manuscript</li> </ol>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>P. Priyadharsini</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Sathyabama Institute of Science and Technology, India</b>