

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_95632
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Educational Considerations in Special Secondary Education in Greece (2015 – 2019)
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljesbs.com/index.php/JESBS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The manuscript is important for scientific community in the sense that it examines the for Special Vocational Secondary Education in Greece. Different policies to improve and/or establish to meet give the special needs of those person with disability directly involved the scientific community.</p> <p>2. Yes, the title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>3. Yes, although the content can be improved.</p> <p>4. Since, this is only a review, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>5. Yes, since it examines the different policies which will address the special needs of those person with disability for quality education</p> <p>6. The references are not sufficient enough since it is only a review, the literature or references should be as many as possible.</p> <p>Additional comments; In the introduction, insert some of the policies that may directly help or address the special needs of those person with disability and the discourse for that matter. Since the main aim of this paper is to review the Education system intended for those with disability, different policies should be the focus of this paper. In the second part which is the "Theoretical Approaches to Vocational Special Education in Greece (2015-2019)", give a particular theory which targets the welfare of the person with disability. The third part which is about the role of the O.E.C.D. and the state in the development of educational policy issues for Greece 2011-2015" and conclusion, the roles and the development of educational policy is very well said and elaborated and the conclusion is solely based on what should be the emphasis and/or focus of the paper.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, the language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jomell M. Santiago
Department, University & Country	Nueva Ecija University of Science and Technology, Philippines