

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_95503
Title of the Manuscript:	Determinants of the use of Personal Protective Equipment: A Literature Review
Type of the Article	Literature Review

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljesbs.com/index.php/JESBS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have a suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>This manuscript presents the literature review involving 14 selected research from different countries to identify the determinants of personal protective equipment (PPE) use among workers in different workplaces. The topic is important in view of the PPE being the commonest control measure practised for workplace hazards and to identify the barriers to its practice. The author/s can specify the type/methodology of the review in the title (systemic or narrative ?) The abstract needs to be in manuscript format rather than in a report format</p> <p>The manuscript needs to be revised into the journal format from the report format and the details of the search database can be omitted</p> <p>The author/s can add the exact type and methodology of the review. It has been mentioned in the result and discussion part that this was conducted as a systemic review, however, it has not been included in the objectives as well as in the methods.</p> <p>The background/Introduction should highlight the problem and identify the need to conduct this review. The problem statement mentions the legislative aspect of the PPE provision but is not highlighted in the background.</p> <p>In the results, the author/s summarised the findings from selected research, however, findings from research No. 18 and 24 in Table 1 do not mention any finding regarding PPE practice. Tables and figures in the result and discussion can be derived from summarising and critical evaluation of the findings from selected research rather than reproducing the tables/figure from the original research.</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ashish Trivedi
Department, University & Country	Brunei