

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_95811
Title of the Manuscript:	Designing, Simulating, Implementing, and Testing a Solar Power and an IoT-Based Pisciculture Management System
Type of the Article	Method Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1: Title should be shorten more precise which depicts the research study (Design and implementation of solar power and an IoT-based pisciculture management system)</p> <p>2: Yes, this research study is important for the scientific community as it explores new research directions.</p> <p>3: The abstract should be revised to begin with the introduction rather than the direct goals, then the goals and study design, and finally your contributions to this research study. Conclusion: We need some more research findings.</p> <p>3: Introduction needs some graphical representation of this study</p> <p>4: Not "Fig."; write "Figure" and cite all figures that you did not create.</p> <p>5: Need some explanation of figure 3 "Flow Cart", and Figure 4.</p> <p>5: You need to add Implications of study</p> <p>6: You need to add Recommendations and future directions for this study</p> <p>7: Intext are not sufficient from problem statement to results and discussion</p> <p>8: Why is your literature review based on one paper? You need to add a literature review of at least 10 papers.</p> <p>Need some Major Revision in this manuscript</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Qamar Atta UI Haq
Department, University & Country	IUB Bahawalpur, Pakistan