

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_95453
Title of the Manuscript:	Diffusion-Weighted Magnetic Resonance Imaging Supplemented by Apparent Diffusion Coefficient in Evaluation of Renal Masses
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>COMMENTS:</p> <p>1. Yes, the manuscript is important for scientific community.</p> <p>2. The title of article is suitable.</p> <p>3. No.</p> <p>4. Yes.</p> <p>5. Yes.</p> <p>6. The references are corrects.</p> <p>This is a very interesting issue in the Imaging Medicine Specialty about the use of techniques that offer advantages in image quality and more detailed information on renal tumors relevant to patients and their physicians.</p> <p>The manuscript has misspellings and too many abbreviations.</p> <p>Reading the text is difficult due to the large number of abbreviations, only the authors of the final manuscript will understand it.</p> <p>The recommendations are:</p> <p>1. Describe the meaning of each abbreviation before continuing to use it.</p> <p>2. Change the narration of the text so that the reading is more friendly to the reader who is not an expert.</p> <p>3. Correct misspellings.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	2. No.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Juan Gustavo Vázquez-Rodríguez
Department, University & Country	Unidad de Cuidados Intensivos, Unidad Médica de Alta Especialidad, Instituto Mexicano del Seguro Social, Mexico