Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	**Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JAMCS_95988**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Effect of Mass Concentration on a Non-Isothermal Cylindrical Channel Flow**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journaljamcs.com/index.php/JAMCS/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments **1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?** (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) **2. Is the title of the article suitable?** (If not please suggest an alternative title) **3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?** **4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?** **5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?** **6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.** **(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)**	1. In abstract section, the outcome and major results can be discussed in detail. 2. The references need to be relevant. i suggest the following. i. A Magnetite–Water-Based Nanofluid Three-Dimensional Thin Film Flow on an Inclined Rotating Surface with Non-Linear Thermal Radiations and Couple Stress Effects ii. Numerical solutions of higher order boundary value problems via wavelet approach 3. All the equations must be properly cited. 4. The graphs may be explained from its physical point of view. Also, the results may be further explained as the present discussion is not sufficient. 5. Nomenclature may be added. 6. The conclusion may stuck only to the main results, not to the trends obtained in graphs and in results. More comments in this section will be highly appreciated if possible.	
Minor REVISION comments **1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**	May be improved.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Asad Ullah**
Department, University & Country	**University of Lakki Marwat, Pakistan**