

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_95507
Title of the Manuscript:	Common fixed point theorems for four self-maps satisfying generalized (ψ, ϕ)- weak contraction in metric space
Type of the Article	Research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamcs.com/index.php/JAMCS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript is important for scientific community.</p> <p>The title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>The abstract is comprehensive.</p> <p>The article is structured appropriate.</p> <p>I think that the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>The references are sufficient, not very recent and I do not have any suggestions of additional references. However, I notice that references [3] and [4] are not cited in the text of the article.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language is suitable.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Before (2.6) should be ``.`` instead of ``;`` Before (2.8), (2.9), (2.10), (2.16): add ```` Page 12, line 5 from the bottom: delete de ending dot Page 13, line 4 from top: `` Now, since ... is weakly compatible. This implies that`` should be `` Now, since ... is weakly compatible, it follows that`` In the big (multilines) formula after the ``where`` after (2.26): delete three endpoints (except the final one) (this should be done in all formulas) Page 15: In theorem 2.3, ``Let`` instead of ``let`` Page 23: the font in the first two lines of Example 2.4 is not the one used in the rest of the paper. Page 29: - in [1], ``EI`` must be ``Ei`` and ``some`` must be ``Some``; - in [2], ``Common`` instead of ``common`` and `` J. Math.Sci.4(2)`` should be `` J. Math. Sci. 4(2)`` - in [4], ``common`` should be ``Common`` and the journal's title, the volume, the pages at al. are missing - in [5], `` journal of applied mathematics`` should be `` J. Appl. Math.``</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Patriciu Alina-Mihaela
Department, University & Country	Dunarea de Jos University of Galati, Romania