

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Microbiology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMB_95909</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Phenotypic Detection of AmpC beta-lactamase producing Escherichia coli among Patients in Hospital Wards</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljamb.com/index.php/JAMB/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Yes, the manuscript is extremely important for the scientific community, as it is highly relevant in the microbiological area, demonstrating the presence of Escherichia coli producing AmpC beta-lactamase in patients hospitalized in the wards of the evaluated hospitals.</b></li> <li><b>Yes, the title is in line with the theme proposed in the manuscript.</b></li> <li><b>Yes, the abstract contains all data involving the manuscript.</b></li> <li><b>Yes, the text structures are appropriate.</b></li> <li><b>Yes, the manuscript is scientifically adequate.</b></li> <li><b>The references used in this manuscript are, in part, updated. It is suggested that older references be replaced with newer ones when possible.</b></li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	Yes, the language is suitable for academic communications.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Suggestions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Adapt the abstract so that it contains up to 300 words.</li> <li>Add the section "AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS" to the end of the manuscript, describing what activities each author performed in the study.</li> <li>The references used by the authors must be observed, since, for published articles, the first six authors must be listed followed by et al. Additionally, the use of the DOI number for articles is highly encouraged.</li> </ol>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Vitória Segabinazzi Foletto</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Federal University of Santa Maria, Brazil</b>