

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Applied Life Sciences International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JALSI_96051
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Neem Extract with Zinc Oxide Nanoparticles for Burn Wound Treatment
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajlsi.com/index.php/JALSI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>In 3.1 Wound Healing Rate (%), Table 1: Effects of neem extract, neem extract with ZnO-NPs and Bactrocin® on hot iron burn wound healing rate (%) of rabbit weekly. There is a good comprehensive illustrative statistical study, but it needs primitives supported by pictures for each model that was applied to wounds, supported by the basic numbers that were used in the experimental application.</p> <p>2. Its suitable.</p> <p>3. yes, it is.</p> <p>4. yes, they are.</p> <p>5. yes.</p> <p>6. yes, they are.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Its OK.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	The research is applied and clinically experimental with a good statistical study.	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hassanain Jwad Abidalhussein
Department, University & Country	Kerbala University, Iraq