

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_95543
Title of the Manuscript:	An Unexpected Headache: Pituitary Apoplexy in A Patient Admitted with Diabetes Ketoacidosis (DKA)
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalindj.com/index.php/INDJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>It is an interesting case.</p> <p>Not that much. There is a connection between COVID and pituitary apoplexy since several cases have reported this association.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>It is. However, some subsections should be restructured. For examples, the discussion is in bullets.</p> <p>Again, I believe there is a connection between COVID and pituitary apoplexy, and the manuscript does not report it appropriately.</p> <p>The references are ok.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Some legends of the figures should be rewritten.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The highest issue in this manuscript is that the connection between COVID and pituitary apoplexy is not the focus of this study. The authors focused on ketoacidosis. Severe hyperglycaemia was reported in pituitary apoplexy, but without COVID (PMID 16042331). Insipidus diabetes is very rare in pituitary apoplexy. So, it should be better discussed. In addition, insipidus diabetes is an old name nowadays (it needs to be updated).</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rafael Loch Batista
Department, University & Country	Universidade de São Paulo, Brazil