

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_95543
Title of the Manuscript:	An Unexpected Headache: Pituitary Apoplexy in A Patient Admitted with Diabetes Ketoacidosis (DKA)
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalindj.com/index.php/INDJ/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1 Manuscript Importance:- Over all manuscript is good and informative. It is important to scientific community. Some missing citation of references, and proper images with embedded arrow and title alignment need improvement. Helpful for neurosurgeon to think one of this alternative diagnosis.</p> <p>2 Title : YES</p> <p>3 Abstract: YES</p> <p>4 Subsections & Structure: AT many places citation of references are missing. See manuscript for further details in review comments. Many typo or spelling mistakes in whole manuscript.</p> <p>5 Scientific: YES</p> <p>6 References: YES. Reference 9 is incomplete. Also Shows highlighting on reference suggest copy paste.</p> <p>OTHER COMMENTS</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • At least provide 5 keywords. • Do not use short forms in keywords. • Do not use unnecessary short forms. • Few facts need to check e.g. arterial blood gas analysis not venous. • AT many places (Specially in discussion) citation of references are missing. See manuscript for further details in review comments. • In Images – Arrow should be embedded in the image and not as extra shape in word. • Proper self-explanatory title and appropriate alignment required. As textbox is misplaced and many images look overlapping with their titles. • Reference no 9 is incomplete. <p>KINDLY VIEW REVIEW COMMENTS MENTIONED IN MANUSCRIPT FILES FOR DETAILS.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Syntax, grammatical and typo/spelling / spacing errors need to be corrected along whole article. • Language for writing manuscript is not appropriate. Needs improvement. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>More than one type of fonts & Size has been used. Use single font and format as per journal author guidelines.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hiteshkumar M. Chauhan
Department, University & Country	Government Medical College, Maharaja Krishnakumarsinhji Bhavnagar University, India