

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_95942
Title of the Manuscript:	Predictors of Treatment Failure with Sofosbuvir/Daclatasvir Regimen for 12 Weeks in Chronic HCV Egyptian Patients
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijtdh.com/index.php/IJTDH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes! This article is important for scientific community</p> <p>2. I suggest that the title of the article be rephrased to read (Predictors of Treatment Failure with Sofosbuvir/Daclatasvir Regimen for 12 Weeks in Patients with Chronic HCV in Egypt.</p> <p>3. The Methodology section of the abstract is not comprehensive enough. The section lacks major components of the section. For example:</p> <p>a. Study design b. Study population c. Data Analysis</p> <p>I recommend that the above subsections should be included in the methodology section.</p> <p>4. Yes! Subsections and structure of manuscript are appropriate</p> <p>5. Yes! I think that the manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>6. Some of the references are over ten years. I recommend more recent references that are not older than 2013.</p> <p>Additional Comments: The Aims of study should be included in the introduction part of the manuscript before Materials and Methods. The Materials and Methods subsection: This section lack vital items such as Study design, Study population, Sample size / Sample size calculation, Ethical Clearance, and Statistical Analysis used. The second line of the second paragraph in the materials and methods section is not very clear "HCV and Patients with normal LFTs or Child A, and excluded all patients above... " Kindly explain the phrase highlighted in red.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>English quality of the article can be improved on.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

Review Form 1.7

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Yes! Ethical issue observed is that there was no mention of ethical clearance from IRB. Since the research centres on human subjects.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bridget Okiemute Amechi
Department, University & Country	Federal Medical Centre, Nigeria