

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_95656
Title of the Manuscript:	Parasites Associated with Open markets Vegetables: Implication for Public Health Interventions in Ethiopie East, Southern Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijtdh.com/index.php/IJTDH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes this is an interesting study</p> <p>2. Yes</p> <p>3. Yes</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. Not sure as standard of hygiene in the area of interest was not illustrated</p> <p>6. adequate</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Few grammatical mistakes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>This is an interesting study. Just a few comments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - What is the standard of hygiene (e.g. parasite count) in the area? - Any data from reputable samples (e.g. imported vegetables) - E.Coli is not a parasite - Abbreviations need to be spelt out in the key (e.g. N.I., N.E.) - There were no units in fig 2 - Can't draw a valid conclusion if no comparison, i.e. amount of parasites in the vegetable in the study area vs the vegetable in other referenced area. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ming Ho
Department, University & Country	University of Queensland, Australia