

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_95681
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing the Knowledge, Practice, and Health Beliefs of Primary School Students in Bangladesh Regarding the Prevention of Iron Deficiency Anemia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalijtdh.com/index.php/IJTDH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? (Please write a few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes, but little revision is needed to update the current evidence It's suitable only, No Suggestions Need to refine the discussion and conclusion Refine with appropriate statistical figures in needed areas. Need to refine with the evidence-based practice of current studies and, not as like a dissertation Though the current 5 years of reference only included, can include a few more studies in the discussion along with each result input Follow the author guidelines in same style of writing	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Butter can allow editing for native speakers	
Optional/General comments	Revise the whole article as per authors guidelines	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rajathi Sakthivel
Department, University & Country	The Tamil Nadu Dr MGR Medical University, India