

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Hematology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJR2H_95674
Title of the Manuscript:	Association of Haptoglobin Gene polymorphism with Uncomplicated Malaria Cases in Elfasher- Northern Darfur State
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijr2h.com/index.php/IJR2H/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes, because malaria is prevalent in many regions of the world. Resistance to antimalarial drugs is not uncommon. The study of genetic basis of this resistance and its association to some common factor like haptoglobin is appreciable</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The sample size calculation and the names of main study variables are missing in the abstract Yes, except that spelling and grammatical mistakes are evident in all the sections</p> <p>Yes I could not find any major flaw in the article, affecting its scientific correctness/value</p> <p>References are sufficient. But old references (5, 6, 9, and 15) need replacement with new ones from the last six years. New references are needed for: table1, table 2, Sudan map</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The English language quality is not good, with frequent spelling and grammatical mistakes in almost all the sections(pointed out in the manuscript attached)</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Good attempt</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Idris
Department, University & Country	Ayub Medical College, Pakistan