

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_96003</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>An assessment of seasonal variation of carbon emission and carbon sequestration in rural areas of Belagavi district, Karnataka.</b>
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Minor REVISION</b> comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Some grammatical issues are commented entire the manuscript including reference citations</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Abstract including keywords should be revised according I commented</li> <li>• Numbering with headings [1,2,3....) and subheading (2.1,,2.2 ...3.1, 3,2) should be avoided</li> <li>• Introduction will be advanced</li> <li>• Method section is well organized</li> <li>• Result and Discussion parts may need to revised by comparing or contrasting with the previous studies</li> <li>• Conclusion should be revised</li> <li>• Reference citation should be revised as scientific citation standard (</li> </ul> <p>*The manuscript will be publishable after incorporating the points found above and with the manuscript</p>	

### PART 2:

**Review Form 1.7**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sina Temesgen Tolera</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>School of Environmental Health, Haramaya University College of Health and Medical Sciences, Ethiopia</b>