

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_95972
Title of the Manuscript:	A Review on Plant-parasitic nematode Mimics acting as a smart tool for establishing parasitism in host
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	The manuscript is important and new science to understanding how the Plant-parasitic nematode establishes parasitism in the host. The title of manuscript is very attractive and very clear The abstract of the article is more comprehensive and maybe should add some nematode effectors as molecular mimics in nematodes. The references are recent but from my point of view search for more recently.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes the language is very clear and easy to understand as scientific writing	
Optional/General comments	There is another genus of nematodes that made this stimulant in plants in addition to that genus of nematodes that mention in this manuscript?	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hanan Arief Hasan
Department, University & Country	Jerash University, Jordan