

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_95872
Title of the Manuscript:	GROWTH AND INSTABILITY OF INTERNATIONAL TRADE OF JUTE IN INDIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. This manuscript is important for scientific community because he relates Instability Indices of jute in India with imported and exported. 2. The title of the article is suitable 3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive, but the problem to solve is not clear. See the problem of the Introduction 4. Subsection and structure of the manuscript is not appropriate. There are more similitude in the methodology with "Kumari, K., Singh, P. K., Kumari, S., & Singh, K. M. (2020). Dynamics of Jute Export in India. <i>Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. Appl. Sci</i> , 9, 3769-3774. 5. Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct 6. References are sufficient and recent.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	1. Language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications	
Optional/General comments	The problem to solve is not clear. See the problem of the Introduction For all formula in the manuscript, we must see a source (Author) Pay attention for Subsection and structure of the manuscript. because there are more similitude in the methodology with "Kumari, K., Singh, P. K., Kumari, S., & Singh, K. M. (2020). Dynamics of Jute Export in India. <i>Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. Appl. Sci</i> , 9, 3769-3774.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yao Akoua Clementine
Department, University & Country	Université Nangui Abrogoua, Centre Suisse de Recherches Scientifiques, Côte d'Ivoire