

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_95865
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of YSR Kadapa District Watershed Projects using Remote Sensing & GIS Technology
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	-A watershed is a geographic area of land where all the water drains to a common body of water: a lake, pond, river or stream. Watershed implementation is one of the main activities being carried out in the country to conserve and enhance the ever-decreasing natural resources. It is also important to evaluate the changes, which occur with the implementation of watersheds. In light of these facts the work carried out by the authors assume significance where good attempt has been made to evaluate the changes in the cropped area, land use/land cover, vegetation vigour, rainfall, and soil moisture changes etc. using the advanced time saving techniques Yes Yes. However, some miner suggestions/comments have been given which need to be attended. Yes Yes; The comments/observations given on the manuscript should be taken care of. Sufficient number of references have been given there is scope to delete few references as suggested on the body of manuscript	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes: However, wherever some technical and grammatical improvements have suggested, after their perusal needful be done in the final draft	
Optional/General comments	The overall information given in the current paper may be useful to the scientist,planners and other stakeholders	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	J. N. Raina
Department, University & Country	Dr.YSP University of Horticulture and Forestry, India