

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_95700
Title of the Manuscript:	Diversity analysis of Rhizoctonia solani Kuhn isolates causing sheath blight of rice in Eastern Uttar Pradesh, India
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	This study is interesting and important for science. We need to investigate and find out about all the possibilities that would allow us to reduce the use of chemical pesticides. Yes Yes A subsection on "Statistical analysis of data" in the 'Materials and methods' section is required. Please indicate the methods and criteria used for the statistical evaluation of the data (Table 1). Yes	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	I'm not a very good language expert	
Optional/General comments	I noted small remarks in the manuscript	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rita Čepulienė
Department, University & Country	Vytautas Magnus University, Lithuania