

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_95671
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of biostimulants and micronutrients as foliar spray on growth, yield and quality of chow chow (<i>Sechium edule</i> (Jacq) Swartz)
Type of the Article	Research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>Please the comments</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The most recent manuscript entitled "Influence of biostimulants and micronutrients as foliar spray on growth, yield and quality of chow chow (<i>Sechium edule</i> (Jacq) Swartz)," is both educational and practical. This article may be of interest to readers of the Journal and other academics working on the subject globally. A few minor typo errors apart, the results are well-written. This work is praiseworthy because it involves a vital chow chow (<i>Sechium edule</i> (Jacq) Swartz) crop. I believe that this work should be published with some minor revision and after taking the following things into account:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Replace key words by another appropriate because it is already in the manuscript title 2. Delete '.' In the line no. 5 in the abstract since it is repeated. 3. <i>Arrange keywords alphabetically.</i> 4. Stick to the journal's reference format for reference. 5. Add more recent references with all possible DOI numbers in the references. 6. Provide all abbreviations in details after Keywords. 7. Apart from all the above-mentioned comments, authors are also asked to recheck the whole manuscript for any grammatical or typical errors in the revised version. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>nil</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	R. K. Lal
Department, University & Country	CSIR-CIMAP, India