

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_95615
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Soil Fertility Status of Radaur block of Yamunanagar District of Haryana for better fertilizer management
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Soil fertility is one of the most important factors for increasing the growth and yield of crops plants, so research on identifying the physical and chemical properties of soil in order to determine the nutritional elements needed by plants is very important.</p> <p>2. the title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is suitable and expresses a summary of the research.</p> <p>4. The subsections of the article have a proper structure, but the author can do better in presenting comprehensive results.</p> <p>5. Yes, a suitable scientific method has been used in the experiment</p> <p>6. The author can benefit more from new references in the last 5 years</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>-Yes, English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications. - Only spelling errors in the text should be revised by the author -Use punctuation in the appropriate place (, -; -: etc.)</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>- Keywords are sorted alphabetically. - The scientific name of plants should be written in <i>italics</i> in the text, after the first writing of the English name of each plant. - The physical and chemical characteristics of farm soil should be presented in a table. - Use references from the past 5 years. -Modify the references format based on the journal format - Digital Object Identifier (Doi) for all references should be checked and write (We have reviewed them for more examples and brought them to you in the comment section.) -Edit spelling mistakes in the reference list -Write the full name of the journal in reference list</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hadi Khavari
Department, University & Country	Islamic Azad University, Iran