

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_95562
Title of the Manuscript:	Incubation studies to find out the transformation of different fractions of Boron
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes, manuscript is laboratory base experiment and important. The title is suitable. Abstract description is satisfied but suggested to reduce. Manuscript subsection and structure are made appropriate and followed to guidelines but paragraph spacing is not appropriate still. The results of the experiment are original that's why scientifically correct. References are appropriate but suggested to add more recent references.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Manuscript should be checked in Grammarly software for errors corrections.	
Optional/General comments	Laboratory name and description must be mentioned with location. In the figure's axis on (x and y) titles should be added. The font size and paragraph spacing should be corrected and follow to the guidelines. Abstract should be reduced up to 300 words.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shoukat Ali Shah
Department, University & Country	Wuhan University, China