

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | International Journal of Environment and Climate Change |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_IJECC_96168 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Evaluation of Watershed Development Programme in Prakasam District of Andhra Pradesh using Remote Sensing and GIS Technology |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) | 1. The paper contains interesting practical results for watershed development in India in terms of processing satellite imagery to detect changes over a period of time. 2. The title is appropriate in view of the methodology and discipline 3. Yes 4. Yes, however, it is suggested that the paper language is improved by using a language assistants software such as Grammarly, or instatext.io 5. It seems correct 6. The references are acceptable | |
| Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | 1. Language needs improvement using Language platforms such as Grammarly, or instatext.io | |
| Optional/General comments | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Alireza Khoshkonesh |
| Department, University & Country | Birkbeck University of London, United Kingdom |