

Original Research Article

Evaluation of culture filtrates of endophytic microorganisms from tomato against *Ralstoniasolanacearum*

ABSTRACT

A novel approach to the biological control using endophytes has gained immense potential in disease management. The endophytes constitute a valuable source of secondary metabolites such as alkaloids, terpenoids, sterols and phenolic compounds which play a major role in plant defense mechanisms. Therefore an experiment was carried out to study the effect of secondary metabolites of endophytes from tomato against the bacterial wilt pathogen *Ralstonia solanacearum* both *in vitro* and *in vivo* conditions using their culture filtrates. *In vitro* evaluation of the culture filtrates of the endophytes was carried out inoculating the pathogen on five per cent culture filtrate amended Potato Dextrose Agar medium. Complete inhibition of the pathogen was observed in all endophytes indicating the inhibitory effect of their culture filtrates. In order to study the effect of secondary metabolites of endophytes on *R. solanacearum* under *in planta* condition, culture filtrates along with culture suspensions for comparison were used. The tomato seedlings dipped first in culture filtrate/suspension then in bacterial ooze showed lowest wilt incidence and among the two, seedling dip in filtrate was the effective one. The minimum wilt incidence was noticed with seedlings dipped first in culture filtrate of endophyte *Trichoderma viride*-2 recording 10.92, 15.68 and 19.84 per cent followed by *T. harzianum*-1 and *Bacillus subtilis*, which showed 12.5, 16.67 and 22.22 per cent at 7, 10 and 14 days after inoculation respectively. Thus the above findings clearly indicated the inhibitory effect of secondary metabolites of the endophytes on bacterial wilt pathogen.

Keywords: *Ralstonia solanacearum*; *Trichoderma viride*; *Trichoderma harzianum*; *Bacillus subtilis*; culture filtrate; secondary metabolites

Comment [G1]: to biological

Comment [G2]: tomatoes

Comment [G3]: by inoculating

Comment [G4]: a five percent

Comment [G5]: conditions

1. INTRODUCTION

The biological control method using endophytes has entered the arena of disease management with attempts to make the plant, defend itself from the pathogens. The beneficial effects that the endophytes can confer on plants have made their role highly significant in biological control of diseases in various crops.

Comment [G6]: from pathogens

Comment [G7]: the biological

The term 'endophyte' is derived from two Greek words, 'endon' meaning 'within' and 'phyton' meaning 'plant'. Endophytes have been defined in several ways and the definitions have been modified as the research in this field advanced. The earliest definition was given by (1) as the microorganisms that colonize internal plant tissues. Perotti, R (2) reported the presence of non pathogenic microorganisms in plant tissues for the first time. According to (3), endophytes are the microorganisms that inhabit for at least one period of their life cycle inside plant tissues without causing any apparent harm to the hosts. They may originate from indigenous species that occur either naturally in soil or may be introduced through various agricultural practices. Endophytes also constitute a valuable source of secondary metabolites for the discovery of new potential therapeutic drugs (4). Secondary metabolites such as alkaloids, terpenoids, sterols and phenolic compounds are the constituents which play a major role in plant defense mechanisms (5). Some of the endophytic bacterial strains have been reported to produce metabolites which can play an important role in ISR against many plant diseases. Hence an attempt was made to study the significance of secondary metabolites produced by endophytes in defence mechanism.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The effect of culture filtrates of the endophytes on *R. solanacearum* was evaluated under both *in vitro* and *in vivo* conditions.

2.1 Preparation of culture filtrate

The endophytic isolates were inoculated separately in 100 ml potato dextrose broth and incubated at $28 \pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 21 days. The cell free culture filtrate of the isolates was prepared by removing the mycelium and other cells by filtering first through

double layered filter paper and then by passing through bacterial proof filter of pore size 0.22µm.

Comment [G8]: a bacterial

2.2 *In vitro* evaluation of culture filtrate of endophytes against *R. solanacearum*

For *in vitro* evaluation, *R. solanacearum* was inoculated on five per cent culture filtrate amended PDA medium. The medium without filtrate served as control. Observations on the growth of the pathogen were recorded.

Comment [G9]: on a five percent

Comment [G10]: a control

2.3 Evaluation of culture filtrate of the endophytes against *R. solanacearum* under *in planta* condition

In order to study the effect of secondary metabolites of endophytes on *R. solanacearum* under *in planta* condition, culture filtrates along with culture suspensions for comparison were used. Both undiluted and 30 per cent diluted culture filtrates were employed for the study.

2.3.1 Preparation of culture suspension

The endophytic bacteria, fungi, and actinomycetes were inoculated separately in 100 ml PDB and incubated for 2, 7 and 14 days respectively. These culture suspensions were diluted to the concentrations of 10^6 spores ml⁻¹ for fungi, 10^8 cfu ml⁻¹ for bacteria and 10^5 cfu ml⁻¹ for actinomycete.

2.3.2 Preparation of inoculum

Wilted plants were collected, washed thoroughly in running tap water and the root portion was removed. 100g of stem portion cut into smaller bits were suspended in 100ml sterile water for 30 min and the concentration was adjusted to OD₆₀₀= 0.3.

2.3.3 Method of application

Healthy 30 day old seedlings were uprooted and the roots were washed thoroughly under tap water and then with sterile water. In the first experiment, the seedlings were given root dip in 30 per cent diluted culture filtrate of endophytic isolates for 2 h, then dipped in bacterial ooze suspension for 30 min and planted in

polybags. Another set of seedlings were dipped in culture suspension of the endophytes for 2 h followed by dipping in ooze for 30 min before planting. In the second experiment, the seedlings were dipped first in ooze for 30 min and then in culture filtrate/suspension for 2 h before planting. Seedlings dipped in PDB and sterile water served as controls. The experiments were carried out in three replications with 12 plants in each treatment and wilt incidence was recorded at periodical intervals.

Comment [G11]: was

Comment [G12]: Please add the statistical design used in analyzing the data and the method used to compare the means.

3. RESULTS

The effect of secondary metabolites of the potential endophytes on the pathogen was studied both *in vitro* and *in vivo* using their culture filtrates.

3.1 *In vitro* evaluation of secondary metabolites of endophytes against *R. solanacearum*

Complete inhibition of the pathogen was observed on the medium amended with culture filtrate of the endophytes indicating the inhibitory effect of the secondary metabolites.

3.2 *In vivo* evaluation of secondary metabolites of the endophytes against the pathogen

Effect of secondary metabolites on *R. solanacearum* was studied under *in vivo* condition using the diluted and undiluted culture filtrate of the endophytes along with culture suspensions as comparison. Seedlings dipped in undiluted culture filtrate before planting showed wilting symptom in 24 to 48 h indicating phytotoxicity. Therefore, the diluted culture filtrate of 30 per cent concentration was used for the experimental purpose.

Comment [G13]: The effect

Comment [G14]: conditionS

Comment [G15]: a comparison

Comment [G16]: symptoms

The data furnished in the Table 1 revealed that, seedlings dipped first in culture filtrate/suspension then in bacterial ooze showed lowest wilt incidence and among the two, seedling dip in filtrate was the effective one. The minimum incidence was noticed with seedlings dipped first in culture filtrate of *T. viride*-2 recording 10.92, 15.68 and 19.84 per cent followed by *T. harzianum*-1 and *B. subtilis*, which showed 12.5, 16.67 and 22.22 per cent at 7, 10 and 14 days after inoculation respectively. The isolates, *T.*

Comment [G17]: and then

Comment [G18]: the filtrate

Comment [G19]: most effective

viride-1 and *Streptomyces thermodiastaticus* were least effective, but found superior to control. Overall performance of various isolates at 14 days after inoculation revealed that *B. subtilis* was the most efficient one as it recorded lowest wilt incidence of 22.22, 34.92, 26.19 and 38.89 against cent per cent in control, in all the four types of treatments employed. However, not much significant difference was noticed among the treatments with different isolates and were found to be on par.

Comment [G20]: Please remove the space after the comma.

Comment [G21]: was

In the case of seedling dip first in suspension and then in bacterial ooze, *B. subtilis* was found effective showing minimum wilt incidence at all intervals of observation and same trend was observed in seedling dip first in ooze followed by filtrate.

Comment [G22]: the same

When the seedlings were treated first with ooze and then in culture suspension, the isolate *B. subtilis* and *T. viride-2* showed less incidence as compared to control. Thus the above findings clearly indicated the inhibitory effect of secondary metabolites of the endophytes on bacterial wilt pathogen.

4. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

In the experiment, the study of the effect of secondary metabolites of endophytes was carried out against the pathogen both *in vitro* and *in vivo* conditions. The selected endophytes tested *in vitro* were found positive for the production of inhibitory substances recording cent percent inhibition of the pathogen. Hence these endophytes were tested *in vivo*, using culture filtrate along with culture suspension for comparison. Among the different treatments, dipping the seedlings either in culture filtrate or suspension prior to bacterial ooze treatment was more effective as it recorded lowest wilt incidence compared to dipping after bacterial inoculation. It may indicate the preventive or protective action by the way of antibiosis and defense mechanism of the endophytes. Among these two, dipping first in culture filtrate was found to be the best treatment with minimum incidence noted in filtrate of *T. viride-2* (19.84 %), which may be due to quick and direct action of secondary metabolites in the culture filtrate on the pathogen. It is also noted that, seedlings treated first in ooze and later in suspension/culture filtrate also showed lesser disease incidence as compared to control

Comment [G23]: in both

Comment [G24]: the filtrate

which indicate curative or suppressive action of filtrate/suspension after entry of the pathogen. It is also noted that, culture filtrate of *T. viride-2* (a root endophyte from Mannuthy) and suspension of *B. subtilis* (a stem endophyte from Vellanikkara) recorded minimum incidence of 19.84 and 26.19 per cent respectively which may be due to the high amount of secondary metabolites in the fungal filtrate and the faster multiplication of bacterial cells in case of *B. subtilis*. Thus this study indicated the role of secondary metabolites present in the culture filtrates of the endophytes in enhancing the defense mechanism in tomato. A search through the literature did not give relevant information about the aforesaid aspects. (6) reported that, tomato seedlings dipped in culture filtrate of *T. harzianum* showed maximum control of Fusarium wilt after inoculation. According to (7), *Pseudomonas fluorescens* EBS 20 produced secondary metabolites including salicylic acid, siderophore and hydrogen cyanide, and its culture filtrate at a 15% concentration totally inhibited mycelial growth of *Pythium aphanidermatum*. Endophytic bacterial strains also have been reported to produce many kinds of metabolites which can play an important role in ISR against many plant diseases. The culture filtrate effect of endophytic *Bacillus* sp. was studied against different plant pathogens of rice and observed that, *Bacillus* strains produced several cyclic peptides, amino-polyols and amino-glycosides, which are having significant effect on ISR development (8). The exact mechanism by which endophytic microorganisms induce protection in the host plants remains unclear, although production of secondary metabolites has been suggested as a possible mechanism. An intensive research is necessary to find out the facts and factors involved in the exact mechanism, which was beyond the scope of the present investigation.

Comment [G25]: indicates

Comment [G26]: mechanism. Intensive research

REFERENCES

1. De Bary, A. 1866. Morphology and physiology of fungi, lichens and Myxomycetes. Vol. II. *Hofmeister's Handbook of Physiological Botany*, Germany, Leipzig.
2. Perotti, R. 1926. On the limits of biological enquiry in soil science. *Proc. Int. Soc. Soil Sci.* 2:146-161.

3. Petrini, O. 1991. Fungal endophyte of tree leaves. In: *Microbial ecology of leaves* (Eds.) Andrews, J. and Hirano, S.S. Springer-Verlag, New York, pp. 179-197.
4. Kuc, J. 1995. Phytoalexins, stress metabolism, and disease resistance in plants. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* 33(1): 275-297.
5. Mavrodi, D. V., Bonsall, R. F., Delaney, S. M., Soule, M. J., Phillips, G., and Thomashow, L. S. 2001. Functional analysis of genes for biosynthesis of pyocyanin and phenazine-1-carboxamide from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO1. *J. Bacteriol.* 183(21): 6454-6465.
6. Mehra, R. 2008. Biocontrol of Fusarium wilt of tomato caused by *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *lycopersici*. *Plant Dis. Res.* 23(2): 51-54.
7. Muthukumar, A., Nakkeeran, S., Eswaran, A. and Sangeetha, G., 2010. *In vitro* efficacy of bacterial endophytes against the chilli damping-off pathogen *Pythium aphanidermatum*. *Phytopathologia Mediterranea*, 49(2), pp.179-186.
8. Yu, G.Y., Sinclair, B., Hartman, G.L., and Bertagnolli, B.L. 2002. Production of iturin A by *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* suppressing *Rhizoctonia solani*. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 34: 955-963.

Comment [G27]: Please align the text with the above.

Table 1. Effect of culture filtrates of endophytes on bacterial wilt pathogen under *in planta* condition

Endophyte	* Per cent wilt incidence											
	7 DAI				10 DAI				14 DAI			
	Filtrate ↓ Ooze	Ooze ↓ Filtrate	Suspension ↓ Ooze	Ooze ↓ Suspension	Filtrate ↓ Ooze	Ooze ↓ Filtrate	Suspension ↓ Ooze	Ooze ↓ Suspension	Filtrate ↓ Ooze	Ooze ↓ filtrate	Suspension ↓ Ooze	Ooze ↓ Suspension
<i>T. harzianum</i>	12.50 ^b (0.35)	27.78 ^{bcd} (0.55)	27.78 ^{bc} (0.55)	44.44 ^b (0.733)	16.67 ^b (0.42)	38.89 ^{bc} (0.68)	33.33 ^b (0.61)	55.56 ^{bc} (0.85)	22.22 ^b (0.49)	44.44 ^{bc} (0.73)	44.44 ^b (0.73)	61.11 ^{bc} (0.90)
<i>T. viride-1</i>	22.22 ^b (0.49)	34.92 ^b (0.63)	33.33 ^b (0.61)	47.62 ^b (0.76)	33.33 ^b (0.61)	45.24 ^{bc} (0.74)	38.89 ^b (0.68)	57.94 ^{bc} (0.87)	38.89 ^b (0.67)	50.00 ^{bc} (0.79)	50.00 ^b (0.79)	63.49 ^b (0.93)
<i>T. viride-2</i>	10.92 ^b (0.33)	19.84 ^{cd} (0.46)	20.64 ^{bc} (0.47)	27.78 ^{bc} (0.55)	15.68 ^b (0.39)	29.37 ^c (0.56)	25.40 ^{bc} (0.52)	38.89 ^{cd} (0.67)	19.84 ^c (0.46)	36.51 ^c (0.65)	34.92 ^{bc} (0.63)	44.44 ^{cd} (0.73)
<i>B. subtilis</i>	12.50 ^b (0.35)	15.08 ^d (0.40)	17.26 ^c (0.41)	16.67 ^c (0.42)	16.67 ^b (0.42)	25.40 ^c (0.52)	17.26 ^c (0.41)	27.78 ^d (0.55)	22.22 ^b (0.49)	34.92 ^c (0.63)	26.19 ^c (0.53)	38.89 ^d (0.68)
<i>S. thermodiastaticus</i>	20.64 ^{bc} (0.47)	38.89 ^b (0.68)	22.22 ^{bc} (0.49)	44.44 ^b (0.73)	27.78 ^b (0.55)	50.00 ^b (0.79)	30.16 ^{bc} (0.58)	66.67 ^b (0.97)	38.89 ^b (0.67)	61.11 ^b (0.90)	40.48 ^{bc} (0.69)	77.78 ^b (1.09)
Control-1 (Medium → ooze)	83.33 ^a (1.15)	83.33 ^a (1.15)	83.33 ^a (1.15)	83.33 ^a (1.15)	100 ^a (1.37)	100 ^a (1.37)	100 ^a (1.37)	100 ^a (1.37)	100 ^a (1.37)	100 ^a (1.37)	100 ^a (1.37)	100 ^a (1.37)
Control-2 (Ooze → medium)	88.89 ^a (1.22)	88.89 ^a (1.22)	88.89 ^a (1.22)	88.89 ^a (1.22)	100 ^a (1.37)	100 ^a (1.37)	100 ^a (1.37)	100 ^a (1.37)	100 ^a (1.37)	100 ^a (1.37)	100 ^a (1.37)	100 ^a (1.37)

* Mean of three replications

DAI – Days after inoculation

Treatment means with same alphabets in superscript do not differ significantly. Figures in parenthesis are arc-sine transformed values.