

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_95910
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of culture filtrates of endophytic microorganisms from tomato against <i>Ralstonia solanacearum</i>
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
<p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript evaluate the effect of secondary metabolites of endophytes from tomato against the bacterial wilt pathogen <i>Ralstonia solanacearum</i> both <i>in vitro</i> and <i>in vivo</i> conditions.</p> <p>The title of the manuscript is suitable.</p> <p>The article has comprehensive abstract.</p> <p>The entire sections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>The manuscript's structure follows the scientific method.</p> <p>The references are sufficient and recent.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communication.	
Optional/General comments		

Comment [G1]: tomatoes

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Marwan Noori Ramadhan
Department, University & Country	College of Agriculture, University of Basrah, Iraq