

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_95762
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of FYM, Phosphorus and PSB on growth, yield attributes, yield and economics of Mungbean (<i>Vigna radiata</i> (L.) Wilczek).
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Mungbean is an important multi-season food legume cultivated in India. It requires appropriate nutrient management particularly of phosphorus. Since it can fix atmospheric nitrogen, good rhizosphere is very essential. It can be improved through organic sources of nutrients. Thus application of FYM is very important. This paper touching upon management of FYM, phosphorus and PSB is a very important in the field of economic nutrient management in mungbean.</p> <p>The title may be refined as shown in the manuscript.</p> <p>Very lengthy. It may be modified as shown in manuscript without affecting the continuity and clarity.</p> <p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate and as per the norms.</p> <p>Yes. The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>The references are too many. It needs to retain only the latest and more suitable removing many of the references.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The manuscript is full of superfluous information which is not needed for the clarity and crispness of the article. It needs to be modified as shown manuscript. 2. The use of upper cases of letters has been indiscriminate. That aspect also need to be modified. 3. Only the important and latest references should be cited in the text and listed in the references at the end. More than half of the references are not at all necessary and they may be removed. 4. Since the treatment details have been mentioned at all places the notations for the treatments may be omitted at all places in text and tables. 5. All the references should cross checked in citation and in list of references at the end. No reference cited in text should be missing in the references. 6. The references should be arranged scrupulously as per the style of the journal. Care should be given for uniformity and accuracy viz. Author/s, year of publication, title of the article, name of journal, volume of journal, issue number, page number in case of journals and author/s, year of publication, title of book/manuals, name of publishers, place of publication and printed pages in case of books and manuals. 7. The text should be modified as shown in manuscript with out losing continuity and clarity. 8. The use of e.g., kg/ha or kg ha⁻¹ or such uses should be uniform at all places. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language and quality is fine except for some lapses in using upper cases and superfluous language.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The introduction should be to the point and brief. It should not dwell upon unnecessary details and unconnected information.</p> <p>Similarly the materials and methods should be brief devoid of finer and minor details as such things are commonly known and followed in research activities.</p> <p>More emphasis should be given to provide scientific justification and reasoning for the results instead of simply reporting the previous works.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	B.G. Shivakumar
Department, University & Country	ICAR-Indian Grassland and Fodder Research Institute, India