Original Research Article

Assessment of yield, yield attributes, nutrient uptake and economics of yellow pericarp sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench) genotypes as influenced by land configurations and vegetative mulch under rainfed condition of Southern Telangana zone

Comment [O1]: I suggest to change title Characterization and Evaluation On yellow pericarp sorghum (Sorghumbicolor (L.) Grain Yield genotypes of Southern Telangana zone

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was carried out at College of Agriculture, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad to study the influence of land configurations and vegetative mulch on the yield, yield attributes, nutrient uptake and economics of yellow sorghum genotypes during *kharif* 2018-19. The experiment was laid out in strip plot design with six (6) land configurations as main plots *viz.,* Flat bed, Ridge and furrow, Broad bed and furrow, Flat bed + Mulch (FB + M), Ridge and Furrow + Mulch (RF + M), Broad bed and furrow + Mulch (BBF + M) and four (4) yellow pericarp sorghum genotypes as sub plots *viz.,* PYPS (Palem yellow pericarp sorghum) 101,102, 103 and 104. Results obtained from the study showed that broad bed and furrows along with mulch (BBF+ M) reported higher yield attributes *viz.,* effective ear heads per m^2 (11.91), grains per ear head (741), grain weight per ear head (21.93 g) and higher nutrient uptake (246 N, 43.0 P and 78.7 K kg ha^{-1}). While, PYPS 102 genotype registered higher effective ear heads per m^2 (11.90), grains per ear head (702), grain weight per ear head (19.49 g) and higher total nutrient uptake (246 N, 43.0 P and 78.7 K kg ha^{-1}). In terms of economics, higher gross returns (Rs. 95747 ha^{-1}), net returns (Rs. 62101 ha^{-1}). and BC ratio (2.84) were recorded under broad bed and furrows with mulch, owing to higher grain and stover yield (1700 and 1585 kg ha^{-1}, respectively). BBF + M recorded an increase of 37, 35 and 57 per cent in grain yield, gross returns and net returns, respectively over flatbed with no mulch. Correspondingly, higher grain yields of PYPS 102 (1586 kg ha^{-1}) had reflected in monetary terms, as both the gross returns (PYPS 102 – Rs. 89774 ha^{-1}), net returns (PYPS 102 – Rs. 57028 ha^{-1}) and benefit cost ratio (PYPS 102 – 2.74). Thus, the above treatments were found to be the best options for cultivation of yellow sorghum considering their higher yield, yield attributes, nutrient uptake and economics under rainfed condition in South Telangana agro-climatic zone.

Comment [O2]: Change line spacing to 1.5

Key words: Genotypes, Land configurations, Vegetative mulch, Yellow pericarp sorghum

1. INTRODUCTION

Comment [O3]: Change line spacing to 1.5

Sorghum is one of the staple foods for the world's poorest people across the semi-arid tropics. It is one of the five major cereals in India and contributes to about 16% of the world's sorghum production. In India, the crop is cultivated over an area of 5.65 million hectares with a production of 4.41 million tonnes and productivity of 780 kg ha^{-1} (Agricultural Statistics at a Glance, 2016). In Telangana, it is cultivated in 80,000 ha with a production of 70,000 tonnes and productivity of 1051 kg ha^{-1}. Out of the total cultivated area under sorghum in Telangana, 60 % of the area accounting for 48,000 ha is under rainfed cultivation. However, the area under sorghum is declining every year (from 18 million ha in 1960 to 5.65 million ha in 2015-16) in all parts of India due to expanded area of the other competing crops - rice, wheat, maize, cotton, sugarcane, chilli, soybean, and groundnut. Hence, alternate use of sorghum is the need of the hour to ensure the food security of the socially underprivileged people.

Comment [O4]: Bold

One of the unique features of sorghum is its variation in grain colour. The colour of the grain can vary from red, lemon-yellow, white to black. The yellow pericarp sorghums are generally raised in patches in

tribal areas of Telangana during *kharif* for subsistence with minimum management practices resulting in low yields and susceptibility to pests and diseases. However, owing to high nutritional, good *roti* making, and keeping qualities, yellow sorghum has created a demand for an increase in its area and production with improved cultures. The yellow sorghums are typically tall statured as against the white sorghum and are susceptible to lodging when raised under improved management practices during *rabi* and summer. Hence, they are invariably cultivated during *kharif* season in Telangana. In this situation, adopting appropriate land management practices that can conserve moisture during *kharif* may help in achieving a higher yield attributes, yield of yellow sorghum, subsequently resulting in higher profitability.

Land configuration helps for maximizing rainfall infiltration, minimizing erosion, total runoff, facilitates drainage and ultimately improves water use efficiency. Modification of land through broad bed and furrow and ridges and furrows would reduce the soil related problems and improve the crop growth and yield. The role of improved genotypes in increasing crop productivity is well established. Many studies pronounced that choosing the genotype to site-specific conditions *viz.,* climate, soil productivity, availability of water resources, biotic and other abiotic factors is a must. Presently, the genotypes with higher productivity have been identified in yellow pericarp sorghum at Regional Agricultural Research Station, Palem, Telangana. Evaluation of these genotypes at different locations is necessary to know their sustainability. With this overview, the present field study was carried out to investigate the effect of different land configurations on the yield attributes, yield, nutrient uptake and economics of yellow pericarp sorghum genotypes under rainfed condition of Southern Telangana agro-climatic zone.

Comment [O5]: Please add the aim of work in this section

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

The field experiment was conducted at College of Agriculture, PJTSAU, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad during *kharif* of 2018. The farm was geographically situated at an altitude of 542.6 m above mean sea level on 17^0 18' 16.4'' North latitude and 78^0 40' 39.7" East longitude and categorized under the Southern Telangana Agro-Climatic Zone. The perusal of the data revealed that the soil was sandy clay loam in texture, neutral in reaction (6.83), low (0.46 %) in organic carbon, low (224 kg ha^{-1}) in available nitrogen, medium (37.2 kg ha^{-1}) in available phosphorus and high (467.6 kg ha^{-1}) in available potassium. The bulk density of 0-15 cm and 15-30 cm was 1.48 g $c.c^{-1}$ and 1.58 g $c.c^{-1}$, respectively. The soil moisture content in 0-15 and 15-30 cm depth at field capacity was 19.2 and 17.8 percent, respectively and at the permanent wilting point was 8.7 and 7.5 percent, respectively. The total rainfall received during the growth period was 333.8 mm with a total of 21 rainy days.

The experiment was laid out in strip plot design with six (6) land configurations in vertical plots and four (4) yellow pericarp sorghum genotypes in horizontal plots. The vertical plot treatments included were M_1- Flat bed, M_2 – Ridge and furrow, M_3 – Broad bed and furrow, M_4 – Flat bed + Mulch, M_5 – Ridge and Furrow + Mulch, and M_6 – Broad bed and furrow + Mulch. Mulch applied was pongamia leaf @ 6 t ha^{-1}. The horizontal plot treatments were PYPS 101, PYPS 102, PYPS 103, and PYPS 104. The net plot area was 4.05 m x 4.20 m. The spacing adopted for sowing was 45 cm × 15 cm. The mulch (Pongamia leaves) was evenly spread between the crop rows in uniform thickness at 20 DAS. The crop was sown on 5.07.2018 and harvested on 22.10.2018.

Comment [O6]: Remove

The broad beds and furrows were laid with a bed width of 135 cm and a furrow depth of 15 cm. Similarly, for ridges and furrows, a depth of 15 cm was maintained. Proper care was taken to maintain optimum plant population in each configuration. Urea, Diammonium phosphate, and muriate of potash were used as sources of fertilizers at recommended doses of 60:40:30 kg $N:P_2O_5:K_2O$ ha^{-1}. The recommended dose of nitrogen @ 60 kg ha^{-1} was applied as a uniform dose in two splits, one as basal and the other at 30 DAS. The entire recommended phosphorus (40 kg ha^{-1}) and potash (30 kg ha^{-1}) were applied as basal at the time of sowing uniformly to all the plots. Soil moisture was estimated from two soil depths *viz.,* 0-15 and 15-30 cm by gravimetric method at weekly intervals. The biometric observations for growth analysis were recorded at monthly intervals and the yield attributes were accounted for at harvest to estimate the yield of yellow sorghum genotypes under different land configurations during *kharif*. Plant samples from all the treatments were collected, processed and analyzed for total N, P and K contents and N, P and K

Comment [O7]: Remove

uptake was computed. Gross returns and net returns were calculated using the price of the produce and cost of cultivation.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Yield attributes

The data revealed that yield attributes differed significantly due to land configurations and genotypes. Whereas, the interaction effect was found to be non-significant. Among the land configurations, the number of effective ear heads per m^2 (12.0), the number of grains per ear head (741) and the grain weight per ear head (21.9) was significantly higher in the crop raised on broad beds and furrows with mulch (BBF + M). However, the number of grains per ear head was on par with Ridges and furrows with mulch (RF + M). Higher yield attributes in BBF + M might be attributed to superior plant height and dry matter production leading to better translocation of photosynthates from source to sink. Further, the availability of more moisture in BBF + M might had contributed to assuring reproduction of the crop in terms of grains per ear head. These results are in line with those obtained by Hanamat and Angadi (2018) and Srivatsava and Jangawad, 1998.

With regard to genotypes, PYPS 102 registered significantly higher number of effective ear heads per m^2 (11.90), number of grains per ear head (702) and grain weight per ear head (19.49 g) over other genotypes. Whereas, PYPS 101 recorded lower yield attributes *viz.,* number of effective ear heads per m^2 (10.44), number of grains per ear head (588) and grain weight per ear head (16.71 g) among all the genotypes. The higher yield attributes in PYPS 102 genotype was attenuated in response to higher growth parameters. Higher yield attributing parameters under broad beds and furrows and with improved genotypes was also reported by Patil and Ramesha (2016) and Sodavidya *et al.* (2017).

3.2 Yield

Broad beds and furrows with mulch (BBF + M), recorded significantly higher grain yield (1700 kg ha^{-1}) and stover yield (M_6, 12403 kg ha^{-1}) as compared to other treatments owing to higher growth parameters and yield attributes. However, the stover yield in BBF +M was comparable to RF + M (M_5, 11965 kg ha^{-1}). Srivatsava and Jangawad (1998) reported greater yields in broad bed and furrow method of planting in soybean, which might have contributed to *in-situ* moisture conservation, improved root development, and improved nutrient delivery to the crop, boosting yield attributes and yield. Additionally, the leaf mulch has enhanced the rate of infiltration, increased the availability of fertilizer, and consequently raised crop output (Dushouyu *et al.,* 1995). Grain yields were significantly lower in flat beds (M_1) and ridges and furrows (M_2) without mulch (1239 and 1312 kg ha^{-1}, respectively). PYPS 102 performed very well among the genotypes to recording higher grain yield (1585 kg ha^{-1}) and stover yield (12085 kg ha^{-1}). However, the grain yield of PYPS 102 was on par with and PYPS 103 (1508 kg ha^{-1}). While PYPS 101 recorded the lowest grain yield (1320 kg ha^{-1}) and stover yield (10151 kg ha^{-1}).

Table 1: Yield attributes of yellow sorghum as influenced by land configuration, mulching and genotypes

Treatments	Effective ear heads m^{-2}	Grains per ear head	Test weight (g)	Grain weight per ear head (g)
Main plots				
M_1 -Flatbed (FB)	10.75^{b}	552^{d}	24.12	14.31^{e}
M_2 -Ridge and furrow (RF)	10.83^{b}	582^{cd}	24.15	16.07^{d}
M_3 -Broad Bed and Furrow (BBF)	11.00^{b}	616^{c}	24.16	17.38^{cd}
M_4 -FB + M	11.10^{b}	668^{b}	24.18	18.66^{c}
M_5 -RF + M	11.10^{b}	707^{a}	24.19	20.11^{b}

Comment [O8]: Bold
Comment [WU9]: Remove and add ;
Comment [O10]: Bold
Comment [O11]: Bold
Comment [WU12]: Remove and add ;
Comment [O13]: Bold
Comment [O14]: Bold
Comment [WU15]: Bold
Comment [WU16]: Bold
Comment [WU17]: Add number in two brackets

M_6 -BBF + M	11.91[a]	741[a]	24.19	21.93[a]
SEm (±)	0.16	25	0.02	0.62
CD (P = 0.05)	0.36	56	NS	1.38
Sub plots				
S_1 – PYPS 101	10.44[c]	588[c]	24.13	16.71[c]
S_2 – PYPS 102	11.90[a]	702[a]	24.19	19.49[a]
S_3 – PYPS 103	11.16[b]	669[ab]	24.18	18.68[ab]
S_4 – PYPS 104	10.94[b]	618[b]	24.16	17.43[bc]
SEm (±)	0.19	21	0.008	0.51
CD (P = 0.05)	0.46	52	NS	1.26
Interaction (Main x Sub)				
SEm (±)	0.22	28	0.02	0.67
CD (P = 0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS
Interaction (Main x Sub)				
SEm (±)	0.30	32	0.04	0.59
CD (P = 0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS

PYPS – Palem yellow pericarp sorghum, **M** – Pongamia mulch @ 6 t ha^{-1}

Nutrient uptake

Nutrient uptake by stover (192, 35.5, 69.2 kg NPK ha^{-1}), grain (50.6, 7.5, 11.5 kg NPK ha^{-1}) and total (246, 43.0, 78.7 kg NPK ha^{-1}) in terms of N, P and K was maximum when the crop was raised on broad beds and furrows with mulch (BBF + M). Moisture availability through maximum conservation in BBF + M might have favoured translocation of photosynthates from source to sink (Table 3). However, the stover uptake of NPK under BBF + M was on par with RF + M. The results closely resembled with those of Binod Kumar and Singh (2014) in frenchbean and Jat *et al.* (2012) in greengram with higher N, P and K uptakes under raised bed method of sowing. Whereas, the crop raised on flatbed without mulch registered least N, P and K uptakes. Better performance of PYPS 102 was projected by higher stover (185, 31.0, 60.3 kg NPK ha^{-1}), grain (47.8, 6.52,10.46 kg NPK ha^{-1}) and total (233, 37.5, 70.7 kg NPK ha^{-1}) uptake values at harvest. Vigorous growth and higher dry matter production of this genotype compared to the other varieties (PYPS 101, PYPS 103 and PYPS 104) placed it in best position with regards to stover, grain and total uptakes. On the other side, PYPS 101 was found inferior with lowest stover (N - 138, P - 23.3, K - 47.9 kg ha^{-1}), grain (N - 37.9, P - 4.77, K - 8.49 kg ha^{-1}) and total (N-178, P-28.1, K-56.4 kg ha^{-1}) uptakes. However, it was found comparable with PYPS 104 related to stover N uptake (149 kg ha^{-1}) and grain N (41.0 kg ha^{-1}) and P (5.06 kg ha^{-1}) uptakes.

Comment [WU18]: Please add reference according to this work

Table 2: Grain yield (kg ha^{-1}) and stover yield (kg ha^{-1}) of yellow sorghum as influenced by land configuration, mulching and genotypes

Comment [WU19]: Please add number in two brackets

Treatments	Grain yield (kg ha^{-1})	Stover yield (kg ha^{-1})
Main plots		
M_1 -Flatbed (FB)	1239[e]	10178[c]
M_2 -Ridge and furrow (RF)	1312[de]	10319[c]
M_3 -Broad Bed and Furrow (BBF)	1406[cd]	10583[c]
M_4 -FB + M	1491[bc]	11576[b]
M_5 -RF + M	1590[b]	11965[ab]
M_6 -BBF + M	1701[a]	12403[a]
SEm (±)	47	219

CD (P = 0.05)	105	487
Sub plots		
S_1 – PYPS 101	1320^{c}	10151^{d}
S_2 – PYPS 102	1585^{a}	12085^{a}
S_3 – PYPS 103	1507^{ab}	11579^{b}
S_4 – PYPS 104	1413^{bc}	10869^{c}
SEm (±)	45	144
CD (P = 0.05)	109	487
Interaction (Main x Sub)		
SEm (±)	47	348
CD (P = 0.05)	NS	NS
Interaction (Main x Sub)		
SEm (±)	63	373
CD (P = 0.05)	NS	NS

PYPS – Palem yellow pericarp sorghum, **M** – Pongamia mulch @ 6 t ha^{-1}

Economics

Raising of the crop on broad beds and furrows with mulch fetched higher gross (Rs. 95747 ha^{-1}) and net returns (Rs. 62101 ha^{-1}). Inspite of higher cost of cultivation (Rs. 35647 ha^{-1}), maximum grain and stover yields in BBF + M resulted in higher returns and hence profit as indicated by the BC ratio (2.84). However, comparable net returns (Rs. 56682 ha^{-1}) and BC ratio (2.71) was noticed in Ridges and furrows with mulch. Higher net returns and BC ratio under raised method was also confirmed by Joshi *et al.* (2018), Pramanik *et al.* (2009) and Jat *et al.* (2012). Comparably lower yields as indicated in Table 4 in flat bed without mulch (M_1) had fetched lower gross (Rs. 70872 ha^{-1}) and net returns (Rs. 39476 ha^{-1}) with BC ratio of 2.26 despite of lower cost of cultivation (Rs. 31396 ha^{-1}). Higher grain yields of PYPS 102 had reflected in monetary terms, as both the gross (PYPS 102 – Rs. 89774 ha^{-1}) and net returns (PYPS 102 – Rs. 57028 ha^{-1}) were higher under BBF + M leading to greater benefit over the cost (PYPS 102 – 2.74). However, PYPS 103 genotype registered comparable gross returns (Rs. 85447 ha^{-1}), net returns (Rs. 52701 ha^{-1}) and BC ratio (2.61).

Conclusion

From this investigation, it can be inferred that yield enhancement of yellow sorghum under rainfed conditions is possible through proper land management practices and by using location specific genotype. Land configuration into broad bed and furrows or ridges and furrows along with the application of locally available vegetative mulch may increase the yield and yield attributes of yellow sorghum under rainfed conditions through improved growth due to moisture conservation. Economically, raising yellow pericarp sorghum on broad beds and furrows with mulch and ridges and furrows with mulch sounded more profitable as observed from the higher gross returns, net returns and BC ratio.

Table 3: N, P and K uptakes (kg ha^{-1}) of yellow sorghum as influenced by land configuration, mulching and genotypes

Treatments	N uptake (kg ha^{-1})			P uptake (kg ha^{-1})			K uptake (kg ha^{-1})		
	Stover	Grain	Total	Stover	Grain	Total	Stover	Grain	Total
Main plots									
M_1 -Flatbed (FB)	130^{e}	36.7^{c}	168^{e}	20.8^{e}	3.95^{e}	24.8^{e}	43.6^{e}	7.62^{e}	51.2^{e}
M_2 -Ridge and furrow (RF)	138de	38.6^{c}	180de	22.6^{de}	4.20^{e}	26.8^{de}	46.3^{de}	8.17^{e}	55.0^{e}

Comment [WU20]: Bold

Comment [WU21]: Change to ;

Comment [WU22]: Bold

Comment [WU23]: Remove and add ;

Comment [WU24]: Bold

Comment [WU25]: Add all references in two brackets

Comment [WU26]: Please add number in two brackets

Comment [WU27]: Please add this table under result's

M_3 -Broad Bed and Furrow (BBF)	152^{cd}	42.4^{b}	197^{cd}	23.9^{d}	5.13^{d}	29.0^{d}	49.8^{d}	9.05^{d}	58.9^{d}
M_4 -FB + M	166^{bc}	42.4^{b}	209^{bc}	27.5^{c}	5.82^{c}	33.3^{c}	56.9^{c}	9.83^{c}	66.8^{c}
M_5 -RF + M	178^{ab}	46.1^{b}	224^{b}	32.9^{b}	6.88^{b}	39.8^{b}	62.2^{b}	10.69^{b}	72.9^{b}
M_6 -BBF + M	192^{a}	50.6^{a}	246^{a}	35.5^{a}	7.50^{a}	43.0^{a}	69.2^{a}	11.53^{a}	78.7^{a}
SEm (±)	9	1.7	8	1.1	0.21	1.1	1.8	0.25	1.9
CD (P = 0.05)	21	3.8	19	2.5	0.47	2.5	4.0	0.56	4.1
Sub plots									
S_1 – PYPS 101	138^{c}	37.9^{c}	178^{d}	23.3^{d}	4.77^{c}	28.1^{d}	47.9^{d}	8.49^{d}	56.4^{d}
S_2 – PYPS 102	185^{a}	47.8^{a}	233^{a}	31.0^{a}	6.52^{a}	37.5^{a}	60.3^{a}	10.46^{a}	70.7^{a}
S_3 – PYPS 103	165^{b}	44.5^{ab}	212^{b}	28.7^{b}	5.97^{b}	34.7^{b}	56.9^{b}	9.82^{b}	66.8^{b}
S_4 – PYPS 104	149^{c}	41.0^{bc}	193^{c}	25.9^{c}	5.06^{c}	30.9^{c}	52.6^{c}	9.15^{c}	61.7^{c}
SEm (±)	4	1.7	2	0.4	0.18	0.4	0.9	0.23	1.0
CD (P = 0.05)	11	4.1	5	1.0	0.44	0.9	2.1	0.57	2.3
Interaction (Main x Sub)									
SEm (±)	6	1.38	4	0.90	0.26	0.92	1.8	0.30	1.69
CD (P = 0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
Interaction (Main x Sub)									
SEm (±)	10	2.06	10	1.36	0.31	1.36	2.4	0.36	2.35
CD (P = 0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

PYPS – Palem yellow pericarp sorghum, **M** – Pongamia mulch @ 6 t ha^{-1}

Table 4: Economic evaluation of yellow sorghum as influenced by land configuration, mulching and genotypes

Comment [WU28]: Please add number in two brackets

Comment [WU29]: Please add this table under result's

Treatments	Cost of cultivation (Rs. ha^{-1})	Gross returns (Rs. ha^{-1})	Net returns (Rs. ha^{-1})	B-C ratio
Main plots				
M_1 -Flatbed (FB)	31396	70872^{e}	39476^{d}	2.26^{b}
M_2 -Ridge and Furrow (RF)	33196	74622de	41426^{cd}	2.25^{b}
M_3 -Broad Bed and Furrow (BBF)	33646	79466^{cd}	45820^{c}	2.36^{b}
M_4 -FB + M	33396	84628^{bc}	53232^{b}	2.70^{a}
M_5 -RF + M	35196	89878^{b}	56682^{ab}	2

M_6 -BBF + M	35646	95747[a]	62101[a]	2.84[a]
SEm (±)	-	2456	2456	0.07
CD (P = 0.05)	-	5469	5469	0.16
Sub plots				
S_1 – PYPS 101	33746	74833[c]	42087[c]	2.28[c]
S_2 – PYPS 102	33746	89774[a]	57028[a]	2.74[a]
S_3 – PYPS 103	33746	85447[ab]	52701[ab]	2.61[ab]
S_4 – PYPS 104	33746	80088[bc]	47342[bc]	2.44[bc]
SEm (±)	-	2225	2225	0.07
CD (P = 0.05)	-	5446	5446	0.17
Interaction (Main x Sub)				
SEm (±)	-	2230	2230	0.07
CD (P = 0.05)	-	NS	NS	NS
Interaction (Main x Sub)				
SEm (±)	-	3124	3124	0.93
CD (P = 0.05)	-	NS	NS	NS

REFERENCES

Agricultural statistics at a glance. 2016. Government of India.

Binod Kumar and Singh, G. R. 2017. Response of land configurations, IW/CPE ratios and integrated nutrient supply systems on growth function, yield and water use efficiency of French bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L. PDR-14). *International Journal of Agriculture Environment and Biotechnology*, 7 (4): 825-831.

Dushouyu, T., Enping, W. M. and Wqingshan. 1995. A study of the overall effect of straw mulching and ploughing in and techniques for its applications. *Ningxia Journal of Agriculture Forestry Science and Technology*, 5(2): 10-14.

Hanamant M., Halli and Angadi, S. S. 2 018. Influence of land configuration on rain water use efficiency, yield and economics of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L.) in maize-cowpea sequence cropping under rainfed condition of northern transitional zone. *Legume Research*, DOI: 10.18805.

Heluf Gebrekidan. 2003. Grain Yield Response of Sorghum (Sorghum bicolor) to Tied Ridges and Planting Methods on Entisols and Vertisols of Alemaya Area, Eastern Ethiopian Highlands. *Journal of Agriculture and Rural Development in the Tropics and Subtropics,* 104(2) 2003: 113–128

Jat, R.A., Arvadia, M.K., Tandel, B., Patel, T.U. and Mehta, R.S. 2012. Response of saline water irrigated greengram (Vigna radiata L.) to land configuration, fertilizer and farm yard manure in Tapi command area of south Gujarat. *Indian Journal of Agronomy,* 57(3): 270-274.

Joshi, J. R., Patel, V. M., Barad, H. L., Macwan, S. M. and Javid Ehsas. 2018. Effect of Land Configuration and Fertilizer Management Practices on Growth, Yield and Yield Attributes and Economics of Summer Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L.) under South Gujarat Condition. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 7(1): 1148-1155.

Parihar, C.M., Rana, K.S. and Kantwa, S.R. 2010. Nutrient management in pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum*) – mustard (*Brassica juncea*) cropping system as affected by land configuration under limited irrigation. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 55 (3): 191- 196.

Patil, S. L. and Ramesha, M. N. 2016. Evaluation of chickpea varieties under compartmental bunding in rainfed situation. *Legume Research*, 39 (6): 890-895.

Pramanik, S. C., Singh, N. B. and Singh, K. K. 2009. Yield, economics and water use efficiency of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) under various irrigation regimes on raised bed planting system. *Indian Journal of Agronomy,* 54 (3): 315-318.

Sodavadiya, H.B., Naik, V.R. and Chaudhari, S.D. 2017. Effect of land configuration, irrigation and INM on growth, yield and water use efficiency of Indian Bean (*var.* GNIB-21). *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 6 (7): 2624-2630.

Comment [WU30]: This reference is not found

Comment [WU31]: This reference is not found

Comment [WU32]: This reference is not found

Comment [WU33]: This reference is not found

Srivatsava, K. K. and Jangawad, L. S. 1998. Water balance and erosion rates of vertisols watersheds under different management. *Indian Journal of Dryland Agriculture Research and Development*, 3: 137-144.

Vikas Vishnu, Usadadia, V. P., Anil Kumar Mawalia, Patel, M.M. and Viral Kumar, A. Patel. 2017. Impact Assessment of land configuration and bio-organic on nutrient uptake and quality of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) under coastal salt affected soil. *International Journal of Pure and Applied Biosciences*, 5 (3): 726-734.

Comment [WU34]: This reference is not found