

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_95512
Title of the Manuscript:	Ethnobotanical survey and some biological activities of <i>Ageratum conyzoides</i> collected in Southern-Benin
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalijbcr.com/index.php/IJBCRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript fits well with the journal's scope. The authors determined the mechanisms of aerial part extracts of <i>A. conyzoides</i> on strains responsible for vaginal infections. Overall, it is an important report for the scientific community, the studies are nicely designed and executed. I have some comments that need to be addressed. Besides, I suggest that the manuscript should be thoroughly checked for typos and grammatical errors. Wherever applicable, please include a "comma" between the two words to emphasize the content/context of the sentence.</p> <p>2. The manuscript title looks fine.</p> <p>3. The abstract is comprehensive; however, there is some typo/grammatical error. For example, in the Aims section, the authors mentioned that "The aims of this study a to evaluate in vitro.....". Here "a" should be replaced with "is". Also, in the Results section, "Also, the administration in the treatment of a variety pathologies affects....". Here, the preposition "of" is missing. The sentence should be "Also, the administration in the treatment of a variety of pathologies affects....".</p> <p>4. The subsections and overall structure of the manuscript are appropriate. I suggest that the authors should perform statistical analysis to determine the significance between the two or more groups. For example, in Figure 7, the sensitivity rate of clinical strains to extracts. Is % Sensitivity between Aqueous extract 24 h and Aqueous extract 48 h significant? -Also, this sentence is not clear, "CMI et CMB (mg/ml) of <i>Ageratum conyzoides</i> sur les souches étudiées". -Why the authors have left the "CONSENT" section. What do they mean by wherever applicable?</p> <p>5. Most of the references are from recent years.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>I suggest that the manuscript should be thoroughly checked for typos and grammatical errors.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Overall, it is an important report for scientific community, the studies are nicely designed and executed. I have some comments that need to be addressed.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ravi P. Sahu
Department, University & Country	Wright State University, USA