

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Astronomy and Astrophysics Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IAARJ_95690
Title of the Manuscript:	Spatially Closed FRW Model leads to Einstein's Universe with Cosmological Constant
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaliaarj.com/index.php/IAARJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. The paper is about "Spatially Closed FRW Model leads to Einstein's Universe with Cosmological Constant" It adds little to the scientific community. However, graphical results and flow charts must be added to elaborate. 2. Title of the article is suitable. 3. Objectives of the research and numerical results must be discussed. 4. Conclusion is missing. Research gap/ Objectives are missing. Methodological Framework is missing. 5. To some extent. However, it must be supported with graphical results. 6. Please add more references. Reference should be in correct format.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The paper must be proof read.	
Optional/General comments	The paper should be accepted after incorporating above mentioned comments.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Raheel Muzzammel
Department, University & Country	University of Lahore, Pakistan