

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Chemical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_95994
Title of the Manuscript:	THE EFFECT OF PHOSPHORYLATION (SODIUM TRIMETAPHOSPHATE) OF FARO 40 RICE STARCH FOR THE PRODUCTION OF PHARMACEUTICAL GRADE STARCH.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcsij.com/index.php/CSIJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes but Narration is not good Yes No , The abstract was too lengthy its need only 500 words enough but you should not mention exact title related information Yes Yes No	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes but it is not up to the mark	
Optional/General comments	All the references are not in order and mention in systematically Why you select that particular grade rice any advantage than others it should be mentioned in final conclusion Please remove replicated information	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Voonna Tejeswara Rao
Department, University & Country	MVR Degree and PG College, India