

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Chemical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_95994
Title of the Manuscript:	THE EFFECT OF PHOSPHORYLATION (SODIUM TRIMETAPHOSPHATE) OF FARO 40 RICE STARCH FOR THE PRODUCTION OF PHARMACEUTICAL GRADE STARCH.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcsij.com/index.php/CSIJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript is very important for scientific community because rice is one of foremost important but adaptable nourishment fixings values having included properties for endless industrial uses. The aim of this study was to chemically modify underutilized rice variety using standard methods for possible use as pharmaceutical grade starch. The authors concluded that chemical modification has shown to improve the physicochemical and morphological properties of the three FARO 40 underutilized Nigerian rice varieties for pharmaceutical purpose.</p> <p>2. Yes, the title of the abstract is suitable.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive.</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>5. Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct. The text is clear and easy to read.</p> <p>6. The list of references is relatively recently.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	The English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>At the reference list, some names of species are not italic. Please to correct.</p> <p>Examples:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Ipomoea batatas (reference 8) - Zingiber officinale (reference 14) - Manihot esculenta (reference 15) - Dioscorea bulbifera (reference 22) - Musa paradisiaca (reference 25) - Plectranthus esculentus (reference 27) 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dumitru Gabriela
Department, University & Country	Alexandru Ioan Cuza University of Iasi, Romania