

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Soil Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ASRJ_95605
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of zinc nanoparticles on plant growth and soil characters
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalasrj.com/index.php/ASRJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes Yes Yes No ????? No, he can insert for exemple: Souahi Hana, Abderrezzeq Chebout , Assal Naouel (2021). Effects of heavy metals on the germination and radicle growth of halophytes species (Atriplex halimus L.). Studia Universitatis „Vasile Goldiş” Seria Ştiinţele Vieţii (Life Science Series), 31(4), 178 – 186. Souahi, H., Chebout, A., Fares, R. Sédairia, L. (2022). Remediation of Agricultural Soil by the Use of Halophytic Crops Under Heavy Metals Conditions in Semi-Arid Environments. Gesunde Pflanzen. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10343-022-00779-z	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	Result in abstract not clear Put objective of research in introduction Statistical analysis not clear He can present the result with figures and tables Significance of result not clear in figure or table Discussion incomplete	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Souahi Hana
Department, University & Country	Echahid Cheikh Larbi Tebessi University, Algeria