

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_95743
Title of the Manuscript:	CLINICAL SIGNS OF SEASONAL DISEASES DYNAMICS IN CALVES CAUSED BY ROTAVIRUS AND CORONAVIRUS INFECTION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalarrb.com/index.php/ARRB/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1- Yes, because coronavirus and rotavirus are most commonly associated etiologies for calves' diarrhea, resulting in loss of productivity and economy of farmers. So these research findings will be very important for the community.</p> <p>2- Clinical Signs of Seasonal Disease Dynamics in Calves Caused by Rotavirus and Coronavirus Infections</p> <p>3- Yes.</p> <p>4- Yes, but please see the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Writing issues and English correction completed and already done (I changed it and wrote it in red): Grammar mistakes and other writing changes (writing or rewriting words, adding or removing punctuation, adding or removing writing spaces, etc.) - The authors should delete some words (I highlighted them in green). - Should rearrange the numbers of references within the text as 1, 2, 3, etc. because they were mentioned in the wrong order; for example, references 4 and 31 were mentioned first, and authors must review all the references in the text because of this case. - Also, they should review all the references in the references list, follow the Vancouver referencing style, and write them in the correct order because in some references they mentioned the publication year before the publisher name. - References 9, 10, 15, 29, 30, 32, and 35 are missing in the text. - The publisher names in the reference list should be in italics. - Use [] instead of () for writing the numbers of the references in the text. - The references to the methodology should be mentioned. - No ethical issues were mentioned in the manuscript and must be addressed. - Write the methodology in passive voice grammar. - The name of the organism is written in italics. - et al. is written in italics. - Authors should follow the journal's instructions for writing the manuscript and layout numbers. - Table titles must be above the tables, not under them, and without a full stop in the last. - Also, the titles of figures must be written without a full stop at the end. - Page numbers should be fixed. <p>5- Yes.</p> <p>6- Sufficient and most of them are recent.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>- Yes, but it needs more revision, as I mentioned.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alaaeldeen Balal Ahmed
Department, University & Country	Omdurman Islamic University, Sudan