

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Plant Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_APRJ_95594
Title of the Manuscript:	Studies on the activity of aqueous extracts of selected medicinal plants against freshwater target and non target organisms
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalapri.com/index.php/APRJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	yes	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	modify	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	yes	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	check journal criteria	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	one plant is edible other one is weed is a concern	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	reduce the length of reference 2	
(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	E.tirukalli latex has potent proteolytic enzymes and also known to have toxic compounds, Probably, it could be the reason for molluscan growth/toxicity. Matter of concern is that phyllanthus niruri is a edible one and extensively used.	
Minor REVISION comments	Check in grammarly	
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	Should meet the requirements of the journal criteria Accept with corrections	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	C. D. Dayanand
Department, University & Country	Sri Devaraj Urs Academy of Higher Education and Research, India