

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRS_95676
Title of the Manuscript:	Occlusion of the central retinal artery complicating a thrombosed abdominal aortic aneurysms: an exceptional complication
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrs.com/index.php/AJRS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1.- It is very important.</p> <p>2.- No, the title must be changed because there is a mistake in the word "aneurysms" which have to be in singular.</p> <p>3.- I think that the authors should make some changes in the abstract. It should start with the initial history of admission mentioning for example, signs and symptoms. Then, the patient's studies must be mentioned because of those corroborated the diagnosis. Treatment and the conclusions of the case have to consider, too</p> <p>4.. Yes, they are.</p> <p>5.-No, I suggest that Discussion must emphasize the pathogenesis of occlusion of the central retinal artery.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1.- English language is adequate.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1.- As it is an exceptional case, the authors should emphasize the classification, epidemiology, risk factors and therapeutic options of the CRAO.</p> <p>2. The authors could also remind us of the blood supply of the central artery of the retina, so that, the reader may understand how the event was occurred.</p> <p>3.- In the conclusions, the authors must place some comment about the clinical case subject of the investigation. For example, these cases should have early recognition of the CRAO combined with quick treatment. Even with treatment, the patient goes blind.</p> <p>4.- The following references are suggested: 1.- Management of central retinal artery occlusion: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. Brian Mac Grory et al. Stroke.2021;52e282-e249. 2.- Chronic Occlusion of an abdominal aortic aneurysm, Koji Tsutsumi et al. Ann Vasc Dis 2010; 3(3):240-243.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rafael Corredor-Osorio
Department, University & Country	Mexico