

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Gastroenterology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRGA_95723
Title of the Manuscript:	Predictive factors of endoscopic mucosal healing in patients with IBD on biotherapy
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrrga.com/index.php/AJRRGA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript is important for scientific community I propose to adapt the title to: " Predictive factors of endoscopic mucosal healing in patients with Chronic inflammatory bowel diseases on biotherapy". The abstract of the article is comprehensive but must be written in a single block. The subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct. The references are sufficient, but not current. I suggest, if possible, that it be updated with references from the last five years (if possible) -I propose to incorporate as a keyword: IBD; Chronic inflammatory bowel diseases. - The objective could be in line with the title:" The aim of our study is to specify the rate and predictive factors of endoscopic mucosal healing in patients with Chronic inflammatory bowel diseases on biotherapy" - Tables must be referenced in the text prior to submission. For example: Table 1 reflects the descriptive statistics of the main demographic, clinical and biological data as well as the rate of mucosal healing in all of our patients. - Table titles should be placed over these. - Introductory part can be excluded from the Discussion and give more weight to comparative analysis with what is reported by other authors working on the topic. - In the discussion, in the citation of L.S. Kiss et al [7] should remove the author's abbreviations and leave: Kiss <i>et al</i> [7].</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	It is an interesting article for the topic of work	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jesús G. Rodríguez Diego
Department, University & Country	Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana, México