

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Zoology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIZ_95790
Title of the Manuscript:	The Effect of Schizochytrium sp. Supplementation in Diet on Fish Growth and Health
Type of the Article	Mini Review

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajriz.com/index.php/AJRIZ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1- The manuscript concerns an important research topic, the use of aquatic protist oil as a substitute for fish oil. Even though this topic has been studied extensively in recent years, there is room to study the latest advances in the field</p> <p>2- I suggest this title: Effect of dietary <i>Schizochytrium</i> sp. supplementation on fish and implications on their health</p> <p>3- Yes but it can be optimized.</p> <p>4- Yes they are.</p> <p>5- The manuscript present some errors that I highlighted in the manuscript (file attached)</p> <p>6- The paper lack some important references on the research topic, which are: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbt.2021.01.006 10.1016/j.biteb.2022.100997</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>English requires a deep revision.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Attached you will find my comments on the manuscript</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Russo Giovanni Luca
Department, University & Country	University of Naples Federico II, Italy