

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_95976
Title of the Manuscript:	Streaming Data Processing
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrcos.com/index.php/AJRCOS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Dear Authors, first of all, I would like to thank you for sending your work. The current work is very preliminary and has numerous errors, such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Weak introduction, without scientific and technical basis. I suggest inserting more References, and emphasizing the objective of this research; Please work in more detail on the Methodology of this work, it is very preliminary; Please detail more the importance of Figures, and their respective data. What is the importance of these elements for current research? Please describe; Please provide more details on the Conclusion of this work, what is the relevance of this work for the scientific world? Please describe; Finally, insert the topic, Future Work. Describe what you expect from this work for the future, this aspect is very relevant, as it highlights the importance of this research for the future in the Scientific and Academic world; 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gabriel Gomes De Oliveira
Department, University & Country	UNICAMP, Brazil