

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry   |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_AJRB_95775   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Ex-vivo Antispasmodic and in vivo anti Salmonella potential of Rumex bequaertii leaves extracts |
| Type of the Article      | Original Research Article   |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://journalajrb.com/index.php/AJRB/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

|  | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  |  |   |
| 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?<br>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  | yes  |   |
| 2. Is the title of the article suitable?<br>(If not please suggest an alternative title)   | yes  |   |
| 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?   | yes  |   |
| 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  | yes  |   |
| 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?  | yes. Arrangement has to do as per guidelines.  |   |
| 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.<br><br>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) | In this article distance is not maintained properly. Conclusion is not much detail. Space arrangement has to check properly. Font size and font style is not completed uniformly. Have to check everything once. |   |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments   |  |   |
| 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?   | yes  |   |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments   |  |   |

### PART 2:

|  | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) |   |

### Reviewer Details:

|                                  |                                     |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Name:                            | Mali Sunayana Mallappa              |
| Department, University & Country | Sahyadri College of Pharmacy, India |